## STICHTING MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM 2e BOERHAAVESTRAAT 49 AMSTERDAM

ZW 1954 - 006

## A property of positive definite matrices

H.J.A. Duparc and W. Peremans



## A property of positive definite matrices.

H.J.A. Duparc and W. Peremans.

we prove

$$\det_{r,s} (\det_{r} (Z_r A Z_s)) \ge 0.$$

For the proof of the theorem a process is introduced which will be called m-compoundification.

If B is an arbitrary p x q matrix and m a positive integer  $\leq$  p and  $\leq$  q, then the m-compound matrix  $B^{(m)}$  of B is a  $\binom{p}{m}x\binom{q}{m}$  matrix, the elements of which are all possible minors of B of order m; thus any element  $b_{ij}^{(m)}$  of  $B^{(m)}$  is an m x m minor of B in which elements of the  $i_1^{th}, \ldots, i_m^{th}$  row (with  $i_1 < \ldots < i_m$ ) and  $j_1^{th}, \ldots, j_m^{th}$  column (with  $j_1 < \ldots < j_m$ ) of B occur. The elements  $b_{ij}^{(m)}$  are ordered in such a way that i < k if the set  $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m$  preceeds  $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m$  lexicographically and j < l if  $j_1, \ldots, j_m$  preceeds  $l_1, \ldots, l_m$  lexicographically l

Consequences of this procedure are

1°: In the elements  $b_{ii}^{(m)}$  only elements of the  $i_1^{th}, \dots, i_m^{th}$  row and of the  $i_1^{th}, \dots, i_m^{th}$  column of B occur, hence  $b_{ii}^{(m)}$  is a principal minor of B and may be denoted by  $(i_1, \dots, i_m)$ .

 $2^{\circ}$ : If one of the integers p and q, say p, is equal to m, then  $B^{(m)}$  is a 1 x  $\binom{q}{m}$  matrix hence a vector. In this case the elements of  $B^{(m)}$  can be denoted by  $b_{i}^{(m)}$  (i=1,..., $\binom{q}{m}$ ).

Not every vector with  $\binom{q}{m}$  components can be considered as an  $m^{th}$  compound of an m x q matrix. This is the case when and only when its components satisfy the wellknown p-relations.<sup>2</sup>)

We now prove

Lemma 1. If A is a positive definite p x p matrix, then its m-compound

<sup>1)</sup> Confer A.C.Aitken, Determinants and matrices, Chapter V, p.90. Confer R.Weitzenbock, Invariantentheorie, p.116,117,85.

 $A^{(m)}$  (where  $m \leq p$ ) is also a positive definite matrix. Proof: We prove the lemma by induction on p.

For p=1 the lemma is obvious, since then m=1 and  $A^{(m)}=A$ .

Now suppose the lemma holds for p-1 and arbitrary  $m \leq p-1$ .

We then prove it for p,i.e. we show that the m-compound  $A^{(m)}$  of a p x p matrix  $A^{(m)}$  is positive definite. It is sufficient to show that the principal minors  $A_h^{(m)}$  of  $A^{(m)}$  (h=1,..., $\binom{p}{m}$ ) are >0; here  $A_h^{(m)}$  is the principal minor containing elements of the

$$\binom{p}{m}-h+1$$
<sup>th</sup>,  $\binom{p}{m}-h+2$ <sup>th</sup>,...,  $\binom{p}{m}$ <sup>th</sup> row(and column) of  $A^{(m)}$ .

Now two cases are considered

10:  $h \leq {p-1 \choose m}$ . Then, due to the lexicographical order of the elements of  $A^{(m)}$  in the principal minor  $A_h^{(m)}$  of  $A^{(m)}$ , in all elements only elements of the  $2^{nd}, \ldots, p^{th}$  row (and column) of A occur, hence  $A_h^{(m)}$  is a principal minor of  $A_{11}^{(m)}$ ; here  $A_{11}$  denotes the minor of  $A_{11}^{(m)}$  in A. Since A is positive definite also the principal minor  $A_{11}^{(m)}$  of A is positive definite. This minor  $A_{11}^{(m)}$  being of order p-1 by the induction hypothesis we infer that  $A_{11}^{(m)} > 0$ .

 $2^{\circ}$ : h >  $\binom{p-1}{m}$ . Then those m x m minors of A which occur in the complementary minor of  $A_h^{(m)}$  contain elements of the first row (and column) of A. Now by Franke's theorem  $^3$ ) the identity

$$A_h^{(m)} = |a_{rs}|^c M$$
 holds, where  $c = h - (\frac{p-1}{m})$ 

and where M is the complementary minor in the adjugate of  $A^{(m)}$ . . . . . . .

By the above remark the elements of M are minors of A in which neither elements of the first row nor elements of the first column of A occur. Hence M is a principal minor of  $A_{11}^{\ (p-m)}$  and as before by induction hypothesis we have M > 0. Since A is positive definite we get

$$|a_{rs}| > 0$$
, hence  $A_h^{(m)} > 0$ .

Lemma 2. If V is an n x m matrix  $(m \le n)$  and if A is an n x n matrix, then for the m-compounds  $V^{(m)}$  and  $A^{(m)}$  one has

$$det (V'A V) = V'^{(m)}A^{(m)}V^{(m)}.$$

<u>Proof</u>. By a theorem of Binet-Cauchy  $^4$ ) on compound matrices from C = AB it follows that  $C^{(m)} = A^{(m)}B^{(m)}$  Hence

 $V^{(m)}A^{(m)}V^{(m)} = (V^{*}AV)^{(m)} = \det(V^{*}AV), \text{ because } V^{*}AV \text{ is an } m \ge m \text{ m matrix.}$ 

<sup>3)</sup> Confer A.C.Aitken, loc.cit.,p.100 4) Confer A.C.Aitken, loc.cit.,p.93

We now proceed to prove the above theorem on matrices  $A,Z_1,\ldots,Z_k$ . Since A is positive definite, by lemma 1 also  $A^{(m)}$  is positive definite hence for any  $\binom{n}{m}$  x 1 matrix V one has

$$V'A^{(m)}V \ge 0.$$

 $V = \sum_{n=1}^{k} \lambda_n Z_n^{(m)}$ 

one gets for all real  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ 

$$\sum_{r,s=1}^{k} \lambda_r \lambda_s Z_r^{(m)} A^{(m)} Z_s^{(m)} \ge 0,$$

$$\det(Z_{r}^{(m)} A^{(m)} Z_{s}^{(m)}) \ge 0.$$

Then by lemma 2 one obtains

$$\det_{r,s}(\det(Z_r, A Z_s)) \ge 0.$$

It is not without interest to investigate some cases in which the last relation is an equality. Now since in lemma 1 the matrix  $A^{(m)}$  was proved to be positive definite, this can only occur if V=0, i.e. if

(1) 
$$\sum_{r=1}^{k} \lambda_r Z_r^{(m)} = 0 \qquad (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \text{ not all } = 0)$$

Now we denote the mecolumns (means trices) of  $Z_r$  by  $z_{r1}, \ldots, z_{rm}$ (r=1,...,k), which we interprete as points in a projective space  $\boldsymbol{G}_{m}$ of m-1 dimensions. The linear space generated by  $z_{r1}, \dots, z_{rm}$  will be denoted by  $X_r$  (r=1,...,m).

Now obviously the relation (1) is equivalent to the relation

(2) 
$$\sum_{r=1}^{k} \lambda_{r} (z_{r+1} \dots z_{rm} u_{m+1} \dots u_{n}) = 0;$$

 $h_{\text{Gre}} = u_{m+1}, \dots, u_n$  denote arbitrary points of  $G_{in}$ , further ( $\mathbf{z}_{\mathtt{r}1}$  . . .  $\mathbf{z}_{\mathtt{r}m}$   $\mathbf{u}_{\mathtt{m}+1}$  . . .  $\mathbf{u}_{\mathtt{n}}$ ) denotes the determinant the columns of which are  $z_{r1}$ ... $z_{rm}$   $u_{m+1}$ ... $u_{n}$ .

We further remark that if the relation  $(w_1 \cdot \cdot \cdot w_h u_{h+1} \cdot \cdot \cdot u_n) = 0$ holds for arbitrary  $u_{h+1}$ ... $u_n$ , the points  $w_1, \ldots, w_h$  belong to a  $G_{h-1}$ , and conversely.

We discuss the relation (2) for some values of k. I. k = 1. Then  $Z_1^{(m)} = 0$ , hence all m x m minors of  $Z_1$  are = 0, hence the points  $z_{11}, \dots, z_{1m}$  are linear dependent and so belong to a  $G_{m-1}$ . Conversely if these m points belong to a  $G_{m-1}$ , then  $Z_1^{(m)} = 0$ . II. k = 2. We may suppose that both  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are  $G_m$ 's, for otherwise we are in case I. Hence  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \neq 0$ .

In the case n > m in (2) with k=2 we put  $u_{m+1}=z_{1\mu}$  ( $\mu=1,\dots,n$ ). Then it follows that  $z_{1\mu}\in X_2$ , hence

 $X_1 \subset X_2$ , consequently  $X_1 = X_2$ . In the case n = m one has  $X_1 = X_2$ , since both are equal to the whole space  $G_n$ .

Conversely if the  ${\tt G}_m$  's  ${\tt X}_1$  and  ${\tt X}_2$  are equal, then there exists an m x m matrix T such that

$$Z_2 = Z_1 T$$
,

hence by lemma 2

$$Z_{2}^{(m)} = Z_{1}^{(m)} T^{(m)},$$

where  $T^{(m)}$  is a scalar this proves (1).

III. k = 3. We may suppose that  $X_1, X_2$  and  $X_3$  are  $G_m$ 's and moreover that  $X_1 \neq X_2$ ,  $X_2 \neq X_3$ ,  $X_3 \neq X_1$ , for otherwise we are either in case I or in case II.

Hence  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \neq 0$  and n > m. Since  $X_3 \neq X_1$  there exists a point x in  $X_3$  which does not belong to  $X_1$ .

Substituting  $u_{m+1} = x$  in (2) we infor

(3)  $\lambda_1(z_{11}...z_{1m} \times u_{m+2}...u_n) + \lambda_2(z_{21}....z_{2m} \times u_{m+2}...u_n) = 0$  for arbitrary  $u_{m+2}...u_n$ . If x would belong to  $X_2$  then we would get  $\lambda_1(z_{11}...z_{1m} \times u_{m+2}...u_n) = 0,$ 

hence  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X}_1$  on account of  $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ . Thus  $\mathbf{x} \notin \mathbb{X}_2$  .

Then applying case II on the relation (3) used with m+1 in stead of m we find that the two  $G_{m+1}$ 's generated by x and  $X_1$  and by x and  $X_2$  are equal. Consequently by a well known theorem the intersection S of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  is a  $G_{m+1}$ . Substituting  $u_{m+1} = s$  in (2), where s is an arbitrary point of S we infer

$$\lambda_3(z_{31}, \ldots z_{3m} \text{ s } u_{m+2}, \ldots, u_n) = 0$$

for arbitrary  $u_{m+2}, \dots u_n$ , hence  $s \in X_3$  on account of  $\lambda_3 \neq 0$ . Consequently  $S \subset X_3$ .

The above  $G_{m+1}$  contains S and moreover x. Obviously x  $\not\in$  S. Consequently the intersection of this  $G_{m+1}$  and the  $G_m$  generated by x and S is a  $G_m$  and this  $G_m = X_3$  since  $x \in X_3$ , S  $\subset X_3$ .

So in this case III we find that the intersection of  $X_1, X_2$  and  $X_3$  is a  $G_{m-1}$  and their union is a  $G_{m+1}$ .

Conversely if  $X_1, X_2$  and  $X_3$  are  $G_m$ 's and are mutually different and if their intersection S is a  $G_{m-1}$  and their union R is a  $G_{m+1}$ , then (1) holds with k=3.

To prove this result we choose m-1 linear independent points  $s_1, \dots, s_{m-1}$  in S. Let  $a_1$  belong to  $X_1$  but not to S, let  $a_2$  belong to  $X_2$ , but not to S. Since the  $G_2$  generated by  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  and  $X_3$  (which is a  $G_m$ ) belong

to R(which is a  $G_{m+1}$ ) the intersection of  $G_2$  and  $X_3$  is a  $G_1$ . Hence there exists a point  $a_3$  in  $X_3$  such that

$$\mu_1 a_1 + \mu_2 a_2 + \mu_3 a_3 = 0$$
 ,  $\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \neq 0$ .

Let  $A_r$  denote the matrix with columns  $s_1$ .  $s_{m-1}$   $a_r$  (r=1,2,3). Then we have

$$\mu_1 A_1 + \mu_2 A_2 + \mu_3 A_3 = 0.$$

Further there exist nonsingular matrices  $\mathtt{T}_{\mathtt{r}}$  such that

$$A_r = Z_r T_r (r=1,2,3).$$

Hence

$$\mu_1 \mathbb{Z}_1 \mathbb{T}_1 + \mu_2 \mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{T}_2 + \mu_3 \mathbb{Z}_3 \mathbb{T}_3 = 0.$$

Again using lemma 2 and the fact that  $T_r^{(m)}$  is a scalar  $\neq$  0 (r=1,2,3) we get

$$\lambda_{1}Z_{1}^{(m)} + \lambda_{2}Z_{2}^{(m)} + \lambda_{3}Z_{3}^{(m)} = 0,$$

where

$$\lambda_r = \mu_r T_r^{(m)} \neq 0$$
 (r=1,2,3).